The number of irreducible polynomials, Lemma 1

- Apply method of generating functions to find numbers of irreducible polynomials over the field Z_p.
- The number of irreducible polynomials of degree *n* with coefficient of the highest degree equal to one denoted as *P*(*n*).

• Lemma 1

For sequence P(n) the following recurrent equation exists

$$p^n = \sum_{m|n} m P(m) \tag{13}$$

Proof of Lemma 1 (1/3)

- Assume $p_{1m}, p_{2m}, \dots, p_{P(m)m}$ all of the irreducible polynomials with degree equal to *m*.
- Opening brackets in the product

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{P(m)} \left(1 + p_{km} + (p_{km})^2 + \dots + (p_{km})^l + \dots \right)$$
(14)

we get the sum of all possible products of the irreducible polynomials. Each of the products will be in this sum only once.

• As each polynomial uniquely decomposed into product of the irreducible polynomials, the sum will contain *p*^{*n*} products of degree *n*.

Proof of Lemma 1 (2/3)

- Each irreducible polynomial of degree *m* is associated with x^m and product (14) is associated with $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{P(m)} \left(1 + x^m + (x^m)^2 + \dots + (x^m)^l + \dots\right) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^m}\right)^{P(m)}$ (15)
- As there are p^n polynomials of degree *n* in which coefficient of x^n is equal to 1, it can be seen that after disclosure of x^n brackets in (15) coefficient of x^n will be equal to p^n .

• Hence
$$\frac{1}{1-px} = \prod_{m=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{1-x^m}\right)^{p(m)}$$
 (16)

• Logarithm the left and the right side of (16)

Proof of Lemma 1 (3/3)

$$\ln \frac{1}{1-px} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(m) \ln \frac{1}{1-x^m}$$
• Apply the formula $\ln \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} x^n$ and decompose

the right and the left parts of the last equation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} p^n x^n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} P(m) x^{km} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{km=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} P(m) \right) x^n$$

• Equate the coefficients of x^n

$$\frac{1}{n}p^n = \sum_{km=n} \frac{1}{k}P(m) = \sum_{m|n} \frac{m}{n}P(m)$$

Mobius function, Lemma 2

• Mobius function is

 $\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ (-1)^k, & \text{if } n \text{-multiplication of } k \text{ prime numbers}; \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ divides by the square of a prime number.} \end{cases}$

Lemma 2

$$\sum_{m|n} \mu(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}$$
(17)

Proof of Lemma 2

- If n=1 then 1 is the only divider and hence $\mu(1) = 1$.
- If n > 1 then $n = p_1^{q_1} \dots p_r^{q_r}$.
- It can be seen that in sum (17) only divisors without multiple multipliers should be considered.
- Hence

$$\sum_{m|n} \mu(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le r} \mu(p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{r}{k} (-1)^k = 0$$

Lemma 3

• Lemma 3

Functions f(n) and h(n) defined on the set of positive integers satisfy

$$f(n) = \sum_{m|n} h(m), \ n \in \mathbb{N}$$

if and only if

$$h(n) = \sum_{m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) f(m), \ n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Proof of Lemma 3 (1/2)

• We will show that from (18) follows (19).

• Note that
$$\sum_{m|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \sum_{m|n} \mu(m) f\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)$$
,

as sums from the both parts of the equation only differ by the order of the terms.

- Instead of *f*(*m*) substitute the right part of equation (18) into the right part of the last equation.
- Changing the order of summation and using *lemma 2* we will get



$$\sum_{m|n} \mu(m) f\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \sum_{m|n} \mu(m) \sum_{k|\frac{n}{m}} h(k) =$$
$$= \sum_{m|n} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{m}} \mu(m) h(k) = \sum_{km|n} \mu(m) h(k) =$$
$$= \sum_{k|n} \sum_{m|\frac{n}{k}} \mu(m) h(k) = \sum_{k|n} h(k) \sum_{m|\frac{n}{k}} \mu(m) = h(n)$$

• The converse assertion proved similarly.

Theorem 2

Theorem 2

• P(n) – number of irreducible polynomial of degree n

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) p^m$$

Proof

- From lemma 1 follows that equation (18) from lemma 3 exists if $f(n) = p^n$ and h(n) = nP(n) for all natural n.
- Hence assertion of the theorem follows from lemma 3.