

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

**Definition:**  $P_2$  – is the set of **all** boolean functions. The system of boolean functions  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i, \dots\}$  from  $P_2$  is **functionally complete** if any boolean function can be constructed from them.

## Examples:

- $P_2$  – is functionally complete
- $\{\bar{x}, x_1 \& x_2, x_1 \vee x_2\}$  - is functionally complete
- $\{0, 1\}$  – is not complete

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

**Theorem 1.** Given two systems of functions from  $P_2$ :

$$F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\} \quad (I)$$

$$G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\} \quad (II)$$

If the system (I) is complete and every function from (I) can be constructed by functions from (II), then system (II) is complete.

**Proof:** Let  $h$  – any function from  $P_2$ . As (I) is complete, then

$$h = C[f_1, f_2, \dots]$$

as every function from (I) can be constructed by functions from (II):

$$f_1 = C_1[g_1, g_2, \dots]$$

$$f_2 = C_2[g_1, g_2, \dots]$$

.....

then

$$C[f_1, f_2, \dots] = C[C_1[g_1, g_2, \dots], C_2[g_1, g_2, \dots], \dots] = \iota [g_1, g_2, \dots]$$

• Or

$$h = \iota [g_1, g_2, \dots]$$

q.e.d.

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

**Example:** System  $B = \{0, 1, x_1 \cdot x_2, x_1 + x_2\}$  - is complete.

**Theorem 2 (Zhegalkin).** Every function from  $P_2$  can be presented as polynomial **mod 2**. It follows from the last example.

**Definition:**  $T$  – subset from  $P_2$ . Set of all boolean functions constructed from  $T$  – is called **closed class** of  $T$  and denotes as  $[T]$ .

Closed class features:

- $[T] \supseteq T$
- $[[T]] = [T]$
- If  $T_1 \subseteq T_2$ , then  $[T_1] \subseteq [T_2]$
- $[T_1 \cup T_2] \supseteq [T_1] \cup [T_2]$

Another definition of functional completeness:  $T$  is functional complete, if  $[T] = P_2$ .

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

## Important closed classes of functions

- $T_0$  – class of all 0-preserving functions, such as  $f(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ .
- $T_1$  – class of all 1-preserving functions, such as  $f(1, \dots, 1) = 1$ .
- $S$  – class of self-dual functions, such as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \neg f(\neg x_1, \dots, \neg x_n)$$

- $M$  – class of monotonic functions, such as :

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}, \text{ if } x_i \leq y_i$$

$$\text{if } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$$

$$\text{then } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

- $L$  – class of linear functions, which can be presented as:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_0 + a_1 \cdot x_1 + \dots + a_n \cdot x_n; a_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

## Lemma 1

If function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin \mathcal{S}$  (class of self-dual functions), then from this function, substituting functions  $x$  and  $\neg x$ , we can get not self-dual function of one variable, i.e. constant.

### Proof:

As  $f \notin \mathcal{S}$ , then there is a set  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , such as  $f(\neg a_1, \dots, \neg a_n) \neq f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

Consider functions  $\varphi_i(x) = x^{a_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

Let  $\varphi(x) = f(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(0) &= f(\varphi_1(0), \dots, \varphi_n(0)) = f(0^{a_1}, \dots, 0^{a_n}) = f(\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}) = \\ &= f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(1^{a_1}, \dots, 1^{a_n}) = f(\varphi_1(1), \dots, \varphi_n(1)) = \varphi(1).\end{aligned}$$

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

## Lemma 2

If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin M$  (class of monotonic functions), then it is possible to get function  $\neg x$  from function  $f$ , substituting constants **0** and **1** and function  $x$ .

### Proof:

Sets  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n)$  and  $B = (a_1, \dots, \neg a_i, \dots, a_n)$  are called neighboring by coordinate  $i$ .

Let us prove, that there is a pair of sets  $A$  and  $B$ , such as  $f(A) > f(B)$ .

- Since  $f \notin M$ , then there are sets  $A_1$  and  $B_1$ , such as  $A_1 \leq B_1$  and  $f(A_1) > f(B_1)$ . If sets  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  are neighboring, then lemma is proven.

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

If sets are not neighboring, then sets are different in  $t$  coordinates ( $t > 1$ ). Then between sets  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  we can insert  $(t - 1)$  sets, so that neighboring sets will be different in one coordinate.

Then at least for one pair of neighboring sets (denote them  $A$  and  $B$ ), will be  $f(A) > f(B)$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be neighboring by coordinate  $i$ .

Consider function  $\varphi(x) = f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$ :  
 $\varphi(0) = f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, 0, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = f(A) > f(B) =$   
 $= f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = F(1)$

Then:  $\varphi(0) = 1, \varphi(1) = 0, i.e. \varphi(x) = \neg x$ .

Lemma is proven.



# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

## Lemma 3

If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin L$  (class of linear functions), then, substituting constants **0** and **1** and functions  $x$  and  $\neg x$ , and may be applying  $\neg$  to  $f$ , we can get function  $x_1$  &  $x_2$  from  $f$ .

### Proof:

Consider Zhigalkin's polynomial for  $f$ :

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} a_{i_1 \dots i_s} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s}$$

Since polynomial is non-linear, then it has a member with at least two factors. Denote them  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Then

$$\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} a_{i_1 \dots i_s} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s} =$$

$$= x_1 x_2 f_1(x_3, \dots, x_n) + x_1 f_2(x_3, \dots, x_n) + x_2 f_3(x_3, \dots, x_n) + f_4(x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

where, due to uniqueness of polynomial  $f_1(x_3, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ .



# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

Let  $\alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n$  be such  $f_1(\alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n) = 1$ .

Then

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n) = x_1x_2 + \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 + \gamma,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  – constants **0** or **1**.

Consider function  $\psi(x_1, x_2) = \varphi(x_1 + \beta, x_2 + \alpha) + \alpha\beta + \gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(x_1 + \beta, x_2 + \alpha) + \alpha\beta + \gamma \\ &= (x_1 + \beta)(x_2 + \alpha) + \alpha(x_1 + \beta) + \beta(x_2 + \alpha) + \gamma + \alpha\beta \\ &+ \gamma = x_1x_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\psi(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \& x_2$

Lemma is proven.

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

## Theorem (Post's Functional Completeness Theorem)

A system  $F$  of boolean functions is functionally complete if and only if for each of the **five defined classes**  $T_0, T_1, S, M, L$ , there is a member of  $F$  which does not belong to that class.

### Proof:

**Necessity:** Let  $F$  is functionally complete, i.e.  $[F] = P_2$ .

Let us denote any of five classes as  $R$  and assume that  $F \subsetneq R$ .

Then,  $P_2 = [F] \subsetneq [R] = R$ .

So,  $R = P_2$ , that is contradiction.

Necessity is proved.

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

## Sufficiency:

Let us assume, that  $F$  does not belong to any of five classes.

Then we can select subsystem  $I = \{f_i, f_j, f_k, f_l, f_n\}$ , which consists of no more than five functions, and also does not belong to any of five classes. These functions depend on the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

I. Getting constants **0** and **1** from functions  $f_i, f_j, f_k$ .

Consider function  $f_i \notin T_0$  (0-preserving).

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

Two cases are possible:

1.  $f_i(1, \dots, 1) = 1$ , then  $f_i(x, \dots, x) = 1$ ,  
because  $f_i(0, \dots, 0) = 1$  and  $f_i(1, \dots, 1) = 1$

second constant **0** can be got by  $f_j$ :

$$f_j(1, \dots, 1) = 1$$

2.  $f_i(1, \dots, 1) = 0$ , then  $f_i(x, \dots, x) = \neg x$ ,  
because  $f_i(0, \dots, 0) = 1$  and  $f_i(1, \dots, 1) = 0$

Consider function  $f_k \notin \mathcal{S}$ . As we have  $\neg x$ , then we can get constant according to Lemma 1.

Since we have  $\neg x$ , then we can get second constant.

So for both cases we can get constants **0** and **1**.

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

II. Getting function  $\neg x$  from constants **0**, **1** and function  $f_n$ .

It can be done according to Lemma 2.

III. Getting function  $x_1 \& x_2$  from constants **0**, **1** and functions  $\neg x$  and  $f_i$ .

It can be done according to Lemma 3.

So we have constructed functions  $\neg x$  and  $x_1 \& x_2$  by formulas under **I** and therefore under **F**.

## Corollary 1

For every closed class of functions **T** from **P<sub>2</sub>**, if **T**  $\neq$  **P<sub>2</sub>**, then **T** is included at least in one of constructed classes.

# Post's Functional Completeness Theorem

## Definition

Class  $R$  of functions from  $P_2$  is called **precomplete class**, if  $R$  is not complete and for every function  $f$  ( $f \in P_2, f \notin R$ ), class  $R \cup \{f\}$  – is complete.

## Corollary 2

In the Boolean algebra there are only five precomplete classes:

$$T_0, T_1, S, M, L.$$

Post's Functional Completeness Theorem provides not only completeness criterion.

It allows (with Disjunctive normal form and Conjunctive normal form) to find formula for every boolean function by complete system functions.