

**Seminar Online on Flexibility and Computational Methods, 21
December - 24 December 2024**

**On the cocharacter closedness and
cocharacter closure of rational orbits for
algebraic group actions over valued fields**

Dao Phuong Bac (Vietnam National University, Hanoi)

Hanoi, December 24 2024

Content

- 1 Some types of closedness of orbits and their closures
- 2 Some results and remarks
- 3 References

Set up

Let k be a field, and let G be a linear algebraic group acting on an (affine) algebraic variety V defined over k , $v \in V(k)$, and let $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ be a k -cocharacter of G .

When k is a valued field (e.g., $k = \mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_q((T)), \dots$), we may endow $G(k)$ and $V(k)$ with the v -adic topology induced from that of the base field k .

We consider the following types of closedness of the orbit $G.v$ as well as $G(k).v$:

- The **Zariski closedness** of $G.v$.
- The **Hausdorff closedness** of $G(k).v$ in $V(k)$, i.e. $G(k).v$ is closed with respect to the topology induced from k .
- We say that the orbit $G(k).v$ is **cocharacter closed** over k if whenever $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mu(\alpha).v = v'$ for a k -cocharacter μ , then $v' \in G(k).v$.

Remarks

- If G is a reductive group, then the orbit $G.v$ is **Zariski closed** if and only if $G(\bar{k}).v$ is **cocharacter-closed** over \bar{k} . (The **Hilbert-Mumford Theorem** saying that *if $S \subseteq \overline{G.v} \setminus G.v$ is closed, G -stable, then there exists a cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ such that $\lambda(\alpha).v \rightarrow v' \in S$.*)
- Generally, $G(k).v \subsetneq (G.v)(k)$. Furthermore, if the stabilizer G_v is a smooth subgroup scheme, then there is a bijection between the set of $G(k)$ -orbits in $(G.v)(k)$ and the kernel $\text{Ker}(\text{H}^1(k, G_v) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(k, G))$ of the natural map between Galois cohomologies. In fact, this bijection was considered to obtain some landmark results in the arithmetic of hyperelliptic curves due to **M. Bhargava, B. Gross (2013, 2014)**.
- (**Bate-Martin-Roehrl 2005**) Cocharacter closedness provides a key geometric realization for the notion of (relative) **complete reducibility** of subgroups due to **J.-P. Serre (1997)**.

G -complete reducible subgroups

Definitions. A subgroup H of G is called **G -complete reducible** over a field k if whenever $H \leq P_\lambda$ for some k -cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$, there exists $u \in R_u(P_\lambda)(k)$ such that $H \leq uL_\lambda u^{-1}$.

Remark. For each cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$, we associate this cocharacter with an R -parabolic subgroup of the form

$$P_\lambda := \{g \in G \mid \text{There exists limit } \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha)g\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \in G\}.$$

In the case that G is connected, parabolic subgroups and R -parabolic subgroups are the same.

P_λ contains its unipotent radical and a Levi subgroup as follows.

- $R_u(P_\lambda) := \{g \in G \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha)g\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} = 1\}$,
- $L_\lambda := \{g \in G \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha)g\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} = g\}$.

We have Levi decomposition $P_\lambda = L_\lambda R_u(P_\lambda) = R_u(P_\lambda) \rtimes L_\lambda$.

R-parabolic subgroups-An example

Let $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ be a k -cocharacter of $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$ given by

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda &:= \{g \in G \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha)g\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \text{ exists}\}, \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\ R_u(P_\lambda) &:= \{g \in G \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha)g\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} = 1\}, \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

G -complete reducible subgroups (continued)

Example. Let k be algebraically closed, and $H \leq G = \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. Then $H \leq G$ is G -cr if and only if H acts completely reducibly on k^n .

Proposition. (J.-P. Serre (1997)) Assume G is reductive, and H is G -cr. Then H is reductive. The converse is also true if $\mathrm{char}.k = 0$. Particularly, if G is reductive, then a subgroup H of G is reductive if and only if it is G -cr.

Relationship between cocharacter closedness and complete reducible subgroups

Definition. Let H be a subgroup of G , then $\bar{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in H^n$ is called a *generic tuple* of H if $H = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$.

Theorem (Bate-Herpel-Martin-Roehrl 2005, 2017) We consider simultaneous conjugation $G \curvearrowright G^n$:

$$g \cdot (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = (gg_1g^{-1}, \dots, gg_ng^{-1}).$$

Let \bar{h} be a generic tuple for a subgroup H of G . Then $H \leq G$ is G -cr over $k \Leftrightarrow G(k).\bar{h}$ is cocharacter-closed over k .

A rational Hilbert-Mumford Theorem

Remark. The **cocharacter closure** $\overline{G(k).v}^c$ can be defined by

$$\overline{G(k).v}^c = \bigcup G(k).w,$$

where $G(k).w$ is **accessible** from $G(k).v$.

Definitions.

- $G(k).v'$ is called **1-accessive** from $G(k).v$ ($G(k).v \xrightarrow{1-acc} G(k).v'$) if there exists a k -cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ such that $\lim \lambda(\alpha).v = v''$ exists and belongs to $G(k).v'$.
- $G(k).v'$ is called **accessible** from $G(k).v$ if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $G(k).v'$ is n -accessive from $G(k).v$, i.e.

$$G(k).v \xrightarrow{1-acc} G(k).v_2 \xrightarrow{1-acc} \dots \xrightarrow{1-acc} G(k).v_{n+1} = G(k).v'.$$

A rational Hilbert-Mumford Theorem (continued)

Theorem. ([Bate-Herpel-Martin-Roehrle 2017](#))

There is a unique cocharacter closed orbit $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{G(k).v}^c$, and furthermore \mathcal{O} is 1-accessible from $G(k).v$, i.e. there exists a k -cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ such that $\lambda(\alpha).v \rightarrow v' \in \mathcal{O}$.

Corollary. Assume that X is a $G(k)$ -stable, cocharacter closed subset of $V(k)$, which meets $\overline{G(k).v}^c$. Then there is a k -cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ such that $\lim \lambda(\alpha).v$ exists and lies in X .

Relationship between closures

Remark.

We denote by $\overline{G(k).v}^H$ (resp., $\overline{G(k).v}^c$, $\overline{G(k).v}^Z$) the closure with respect to Hausdorff topology (resp., cocharacter closed topology, Zariski topology).

(Bate-Herpel-Martin-Roehrl 2017, Example 11.1): Although

$G(\bar{k}).v$ is Zariski closed $\Leftrightarrow G(\bar{k}).v$ is cocharacter closed,

$\overline{G(\bar{k}).v}^c$ might be strictly smaller than $\overline{G(\bar{k}).v}^Z$.

Problems

Problem 1.

Let G be a linear algebraic group acting on an affine variety V defined over a local field k , and let $v \in V(k)$ be a rational point. What are the relationships between the following types of closedness?

- (1) $G.v$ is **Zariski closed** in V .
- (2) $G(k).v$ is **Hausdorff closed** in $V(k)$, i.e. it is closed with respect to the topology induced from k .
- (3) $G(k).v$ is **cocharacter closed**.

Problems (continued)

Problem 2.

What is the relationship between the closures $\overline{G(k).v}^H$ and $\overline{G(k).v}^c$? More concretely, we consider the following cases:

- (1) $\overline{G(k).v}^H = \overline{G(k).v}^c$ if G is a k -torus?
- (2) $\overline{G(k).v}^H = \overline{G(k).v}^c$ if G is a commutative k -group?
- (3) $\overline{G(k).v}^H = \overline{G(k).v}^c$ if G is a nilpotent k -group?

Problems (continued)

Problem 3.

We consider the conjugate action of $G = \mathrm{GL}(W)$ on

$V = \mathrm{End}(W)$. Is this true that $\overline{\mathrm{GL}(W)(k).f^H} = \overline{\mathrm{GL}(W)(k).f^c}$?

Results (B.-Hien-Hoang-Duc (in progress))

- (a) Assume that G is reductive and $v \in V(k)$. Let $k_i = k^{p^{-i}} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{p^{-n}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
- (1) $G.v$ is Zariski closed.
 - (2) $G(k_i).v$ is cocharacter closed.
 - (3) $G(k_i).v$ is Hausdorff closed.

A key ingredient-Result of Kraft-Kuttler's type

- Let k be a field, and let G be a reductive acting on an algebraic variety V defined over k , $v \in V(k)$, and let $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ be a k -cocharacter of G . Assume that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha).v = v' \in G.v$. Then
- $v' \in R_u(P_\lambda)(k_i).v$. (Since $v \in V(k)$, the stabilizer G_v is k -closed, hence defined over k_i .)
- **Question:** $v' \in R_u(P_\lambda)(k).v$?

Example

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$ acts on itself $V = G$ by conjugation. Choose $b \in k$, and $a \in k^\times \setminus \{\pm 1\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b(a^{-1} - a) \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in V(k). \end{aligned}$$

We define the cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ by

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example (continued)

Then

$$P_\lambda = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}, R_u(P_\lambda) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} v' &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha) \cdot v = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha^2 b(a^{-1} - a) \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= u \cdot v \in R_u(P_\lambda)(k) \cdot v, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example (continued)

Furthermore, if we choose $b \in k_s \setminus k$, and $a \in k^\times \setminus \{\pm 1\}$. Let

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin V(k), v' = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in V(k),$$

and define $\lambda \in X_*(G)_k$ by

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha).v = v' \in R_u(P_\lambda)(k_s).v \setminus R_u(P_\lambda)(k).v, \\ G_v(k_s) \text{ is not } \Gamma\text{-stable.} \end{cases}$$

Remark (cf. B.-Hien 2022)

- (b) Assume that G is nilpotent. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
- (1) $G.v$ is Zariski closed.
 - (2) $G(k).v$ is Hausdorff closed.
 - (3) $G(k).v$ is cocharacter closed.

Key ingredient. The stabilizer group scheme G_v satisfy [the Gabber condition](#). (We say that a k -group H satisfies the $(*)$ -condition (or the Gabber condition) if all \bar{k} -tori of $H_{\bar{k}}$ are contained in $(H^+)_{\bar{k}}$. Here, H^+ is the largest smooth subgroup of H .)

Remark

Example. (Gabber/Gille/Morret-Bailly 2014)

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q((T))$ be the imperfect local function field with T -adic topology. Assume that $G = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ the semidirect product with the operation $(x, y) \cdot (x', y') = (x + yx', yy')$, and let G act on the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 by $(x, y) \cdot z = x^p + y^p z$. Let $v = T \in k \setminus k^p$ be a rational point of \mathbb{A}^1 . Then we have the following

- (a) $G(\bar{k}).v = \bar{k}^p + (\bar{k}^{\times p}).v = \bar{k}$ is Zariski closed in \mathbb{A}^1 .
- (b) $G(k).v = k^p + (k^\times)^p v \subsetneq k$, and $G(k).v$ is not Hausdorff closed in the T -adic topology, as well as is not cocharacter closed. In fact, $0 \in \overline{G(k).v}^c \setminus G(k).v$.
- (c) $G_v = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m \mid x^p + (y - 1)^p T = 0\}$ does not satisfy the Gabber condition.

Question: If G is solvable, then is it true that $G(k).v$ is cocharacter closed $\not\Rightarrow$ $G(k).v$ is Hausdorff closed?

Results (relationship between closures)

(c) Assume that $G = T$ is a split k -torus. Then

$$\overline{T(k).v}^H = \overline{T(k).v}^c.$$

More precisely, if $w \in \overline{T(k).v}^H$, then $T(k).v \xrightarrow{1\text{-acc}} T(k).w$,
i.e. there is a k -cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ such that
 $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\alpha).v = v' \in T(k).w$.

One example for (c)

Assume that k is a nonarchimedean local field, $T = \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ acts on \mathbb{A}^2 by

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{i_1} x \\ t_2^{i_2} y \end{pmatrix},$$

with $i_1, i_2 > 0$. Let $v = (1, 1)^T$, $w = (a, 0)^T \in \overline{T(k).v}^H$. Then $a = s^{i_1}$, we choose $\lambda(\alpha) = \text{diag}(1, \alpha)$, and obtain $\lim \lambda(\alpha).v = v' = (1, 0) \in T(k).w$. Hence, $w = (s^{i_1}, 0)^T \in \overline{T(k).v}^c$. Therefore, $\overline{T(k).v}^H = \overline{T(k).v}^c$.

Results (relationship between closures, continued)

(d) Assume that $G = T$ is a k -torus. Then

$$\overline{T(k).v}^H = \overline{T(k).v}^c.$$

Idea of the proof. Consider the cases that T is k -split, T is k -anisotropic. Then consider the general case where $T = T_a \cdot T_s$ (almost direct product). Here, $T(k)$ is approximate to $T_a(k)T_s(k)$.

Results (relationship between closures, continued)

- (e) Assume that $G = U$ is a unipotent group. Then $\overline{G(k).v}^H = \overline{G(k).v}^c$. (In fact, in [B.-Hien \(2022\)](#), we obtained a rational version of the Rosenlicht Theorem saying that $U(k).v$ is always Hausdorff closed.)

Results (relationship between closures, continued)

- (f) If G is solvable, generally, $\overline{G(k).v}^c \subsetneq \overline{G(k).v}^H$. If $G = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$, we may identify G with

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{G}_a, y \in \mathbb{G}_m \right\}$$

via

$$(x, y) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the natural action of $G = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ on \mathbb{A}^2 . Then

$$G(k).v = k \times k^\times,$$

and

$$\overline{G(k).v}^c = k \times k^\times \cup \{(1, 0)\} \subsetneq \overline{G(k).v}^H = k \times k.$$

- (g) $\overline{\mathrm{GL}(W)(k).v}^H = \overline{\mathrm{GL}(W)(k).v}^c$ when $n \in \{2, 3\}$, or v is semisimple. What happen if v is nilpotent?

References

- D. P. Bac, V. T. Hien: *On the topology of geometric and rational orbits for algebraic group actions over valued fields, II*. Comm. Algebra vol. 50 (2022), no. 2, p. 812–821.
- D. P. Bac, V. T. Hien, V. D. Hoang, N. M. Duc: *On the topology of geometric and rational orbits for algebraic group actions over valued fields, III*, In preparation.
- M. Bate, B. Martin, G. Roehrl, R. Tange: *Closed orbits and uniform S -instability in geometric invariant theory*. Trans. AMS, vol. 365(7) (2013), p. 3643–3673.
- M. Bate, S. Herpel, B. Martin, G. Roehrl: *Cocharacter-closure and the rational Hilbert-Mumford Theorem*. Math. Z., vol. 287 (2017), p. 39–72.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !